

Annex B: Statistical analysis of GTTS consultation responses

Are you aware that a GTAA has been carried out in your area?

Key


Yes



No




All respondents




30% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




14% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




51% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



43% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders




36% no response/no opinion/don't know

I.1 Extent of agreement that new provision be shared by all parts of the region including those with little current provision

Key


- Strongly agree ++
- Tend to agree +
- Tend to disagree -
- Strongly disagree --

All respondents




13% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




3% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




46% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



12% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders




17% no response/no opinion/don't know

1.2 Extent of agreement that new provision should only be in locations with good access to employment and services

Key


- Strongly agree ++
- Tend to agree +
- Tend to disagree -
- Strongly disagree --

All respondents




13% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




3% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




46% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



15% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders




17% no response/no opinion/don't know

1.3 Extent of agreement that authorised temporary pitches be provided where Gypsies and Travellers often stop while travelling

Key


- Strongly agree ++
- Tend to agree +
- Tend to disagree -
- Strongly disagree --

All respondents




15% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




5% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




51% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only








12% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders









19% no response/no opinion/don't know

2. Extent of support for provision of new pitches to reduce unauthorised encampments




3. Views on proposed total regional provision for Gypsies and Travellers (1,064 additional permanent pitches 2006-2016)




4. Views on proposed county level provision for Gypsies and Travellers (option A figure)

Key




All respondents




20% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




7% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




46% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only









19% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders



30% no response/no opinion/don't know

5. Views on proposed total regional provision for Travelling Showpeople (up to 274 additional permanent plots 2006-2016)




6. Views on proposed county level provision for Travelling Showpeople (option A figure)

Key


- Much too low --
- A bit low -
- About right =
- A bit high +
- Much too high ++

All respondents




44% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




57% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




53% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



29% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders



36% no response/no opinion/don't know

7. Respondent aware of a Gypsy & Traveller site in their area

Key


Yes



No




All respondents




13% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




7% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




44% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



11% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders




15% no response/no opinion/don't know

8. Preferred distribution option for GTTS provision

Key


| | |
|--|---|
| Option A need where arises | A |
| Option B local/county redistribution | B |
| Option C greater regional redistribution | C |
| Option D lesser regional redistribution | D |

All respondents




12% no response/no opinion/don't know

GTTS only




5% no response/no opinion/don't know

SE Councils only




13% no response/no opinion/don't know

Residents only



17% no response/no opinion/don't know

Other stakeholders



16% no response/no opinion/don't know

10. Is there a better approach to assessing transit provision needs than use of unauthorised encampment records?

